Case: 09-16011 Doc: 57 Filed: 11/10/10 Page: 1 of 3



Dated: November 10, 2010 10:26:24

The following is ORDERED:

Sankettall

Sarah A Hall United States Bankruptcy Judge

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

In re:	)
MICHAEL L. CALLOWAY SR. And LILLIE E. CALLOWAY,	) Case No. 09-16011-SAH ) Chapter 7
Debtors.	) )
RED RIVER ROOFING AND CONSTRUCTION, INC.,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) ADV. No. 10-01043-SAH
MICHAEL L. CALLOWAY, SR. AND LILLIE E. CALLOWAY,	) ) )
Defendants.	)

## JUDGMENT AND ORDER DENYING DISCHARGE (11 U.S.C. § 727(a)(4)

This matter came on for hearing on October 27, 2010 pursuant to plaintiff Red River Roofing and Construction, Inc.'s summary judgment motion (adversary docket sheet item No. 27, filed

Page 1 of 3

Case: 09-16011 Doc: 57 Filed: 11/10/10 Page: 2 of 3

September 17, 2010) ("Motion"), and supporting appendix (adversary docket sheet item No. 28). Plaintiff's complaint (adversary docket sheet item No. 1) asserted claims against the debtors/defendants, Michael L. Calloway, Sr. and Lillie E. Calloway, under 11 U.S.C. §§ 727(a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(4) and (a)(5). Plaintiff's complaint also asserted claims under 11 U.S.C. §§ 523(a)(2) and (a)(6). In the Motion, plaintiff moved for summary judgment against both debtors/defendants on its claim under 11 U.S.C. § 727(a)(4)(i.e., false oath).

Plaintiff appeared at the hearing through counsel, David L. Nunn. Debtor/defendant Michael L. Calloway (only) filed a response (docket sheet item no. 38) and he (only) appeared at the hearing. The court announced "of record" detailed findings of facts and conclusions of law. In consideration of the Motion, Michael Calloway's response, the record and evidence before the court, and the events of the hearing, the court finds that the Motion should be, and is hereby, granted. Specifically, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED, that pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 727(a)(4), debtor/defendant Michael L. Calloway, Sr. and debtor/defendant Lille E. Calloway, should be denied, and are hereby denied, their discharge under 11 U.S.C. § 727.

The court further finds that plaintiff's claims under 11 U.S.C. §§ 727(a)(2), (a)(3), and (a)(5), and 523(a)(2) and (a)(6), are mooted by the court 's judgment in favor of plaintiff on its § 727(a)(4) claims and the denial of each debtor/defendant's discharge. Accordingly, this judgment and order is, and shall be deemed to be, a final judgment resolving all claims at issue in the case. (Any claim by plaintiff for attorney fees and/or costs are hereby reserved, and shall be asserted by the methods and within the times prescribed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)).

####

Case: 09-16011 Doc: 57 Filed: 11/10/10 Page: 3 of 3

Prepared by:
/s/ David L. Nunn
DAVID L. NUNN, OBA #14512

- Of the Firm -

DAVID L. NUNN, P.C. PO Box 230 Edmond, OK 73083-0230 405-330-4053 405-330-8470 (fax) ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Judgment and Order Denying Discharge-Adv. No. 10-01043-SAH